

Errors in *Logic for Philosophy*:

1. p. 60, step 5 of the proof for “contraposition 2” should cite step 4, not step 3.
2. p. 61, two-thirds down the page, line 4 of the proof of the second form of negated-conditional should be $\sim\psi$.
3. p. 62, exercise 2.11, when doing parts c and d students should not use contraposition 2, negated conditional, or excluded middle MP (since the proofs of those theorems in the text depend on exercises 2.11 c and d).
4. p. 62, exercise 2.12, “ $\wedge E$ ” should be “ $\wedge I$ ”.
5. p. 86, Exercise 3.14 should be worded “Show that any wff with value 1 in a trivalent interpretation using the Kleene tables is supertrue in that interpretation.”
6. p. 155, in the proof for the S4-validity of the formula (middle of the page), “in some B-model” in line (i) should be “in some S4-model”.
7. p. 216, exercise 8.3(c), $P \rightarrow R$ should be $P \Box \rightarrow R$
8. p. 216, exercise 8.4(a), the intended wff was $\sim(P \Box \rightarrow \sim Q) \rightarrow (P \Box \rightarrow Q)$.
9. p. 248, one-third down the page, the new clause for the \Box should read: “...and if $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{M},g} \in \mathcal{D}_v$ for each...”
10. p. 254, exercise 10.1 should read “Show that the new definitions of validity and semantic consequence for MPL are equivalent to the old ones.”