

VAN INWAGEN'S ARGUMENT FOR INCOMPATIBILISM

Ted Sider, Metaphysics

Some definitions:

<p>propositions: meanings of sentences; carry information</p> <p>conjunction: the conjunction of propositions p and q is the proposition that both p and q are true</p> <p>entailment: p entails q iff in every possible world in which p is true, q is true as well</p> <p>world state at t: a proposition about the entire universe at t that is i) exhaustive with respect to t, and ii) exclusive with respect to t</p> <p>laws of nature: the <i>true</i> laws governing the world (e.g., Newton's laws, if Newton had been right)</p> <p>DETERMINISM (van Inwagen's definition): the conjunction of the laws of nature and the world state at any time entails the world state at any other time</p>

Some abbreviations:

J: someone who chose not to raise his hand at time T	P: the world-state at T
L: the conjunction of all the laws of nature	T ₀ : a time before J's birth
NRH: the proposition that J did <i>not</i> raise his hand at T	P ₀ : the world-state at T ₀

The argument:

- (1) If Determinism is true, then the conjunction of P₀ and L entails P
- (2) P entails NRH
- (3) If (2) is true, then if J could have raised his hand at T, J could have rendered P false
- (4) If J could have rendered P false, and if the conjunction of P₀ and L entails P, then J could have rendered the conjunction of P₀ and L false
- (5) If J could have rendered the conjunction of P₀ and L false, then J could have rendered L false
- (6) J could not have rendered L false
- (7) **Therefore**, If Determinism is true, J could not have raised his hand at T

Van Inwagen's principle: If S can render R false, and Q entails R, then S can render Q false.